(7) (4)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

IN THE MATTER OF W.P. NO. 1763/2001

Between

PETITIONER: - **F.L. Kripal**, aged about 43 years, S/o. Shri. S.R. Kripal, District Registrar, Raipur (Chhattisgarh) resident of 10, Changorabhata, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

Vs.

RESPONDENTS: -

1. **State of Chhattisgarh,** through Principal Secretary, General Administrative Department, Mantralaya, DKS Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

P. R. No. 1621/01
Presented by Shri R.S. Pradhan
dated 19.9.01

2. **State of Madhya Pradesh**, through Principal Secretary, General Administrative Department(State Re-organisation Cell) Mantralaya, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal(Madhya Pradesh).

3. Secretary, State of Chhattisgarh, Ministry of Commercial Tax, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

4. Secretary, State of Madhya Pradesh, Ministry of Commercial Tax, Mantralaya, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.

RECEIVED COPY.
20 AUG. BILASPUR

5. Inspector General of Registration and Superintendent of Stamps, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

(2) (5)

6. Inspector General of Registration and Superintendent of Stamps, "Panjiyan Bhawan", Near Old Vidhan Sabha House, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh).

7. President, State Advisory Board, State Re-organisation Cell, Vallabh Bhawan, Mantralaya, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh).

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

oner respe

s abo

is pre
nctio
ame
garl

s a

e

XI-HC-22

(101)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 1763/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	23/07/2003 None for the petitioner. Shri D.R.Agarwal, Dy.A.G. for the respondents no. 1,3 & 5. Shri S.S. Rajput, counsel for the respondents no.2,4,6 & 7. Prayer in this writ petition is for restraining the respondent/State of M.P. from creating the additional post of Deputy Inspector General (Registration) in the State of Chhattisgarh and for restraining it from taking any action for implementing Annexure A-5. It was the petitioner's case that if a new post is created, it will disturb the ratio. When the matter had come up on 25/06/2003, it was sated by the learned counsel appearing for the State of M.P. that the apprehension of the petitioner did not survive as he had already been promoted to the post of Deputy Inspector General (Registration). Counsel for the petitioner had sought time to obtain instructions. But, when the matter is called, no one appears for the petitioner.	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

102

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1263/01 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the State that no cause of action survives as the petitioner has been promoted, the writ petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous. In case there remains any cause of action, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move a fresh petition or to revive the petition. In view of the above, M.W.P.No.1577/2002, 334/2003 for vacating the stay and I.A.No. 7915/2002 and 853/203 for urgent hearing also stand disposed of. Sd/- P.C. Naik Judge	